

**SUBJECT No. 35.**

Alteration of rules requiring fresh processes to all witnesses in Civil Courts.

Mr. Hutcheere Gowda, Gulbri Taluk.

*Summary of discussion.*—It has recently been ruled in Civil Courts that, if summonses to one or two witnesses of the case are not served, process fees and batta to all the witnesses in the case should be again produced by the parties. Orders are requested for the continuance of the practice formerly in vogue.

*Reply of Government.*—Not possible.

**SUBJECT No. 36.**

Sale of immovable property under Section 310 of the Civil Procedure Code.

Mr. A. Narasimha Iyengar, Sagar Taluk.

*Summary of discussion.*—When immovable property is sold under Section 310 of the Civil Procedure Code, and the defendant produces the sale amount and interest a month after the sale, the procedure as in force in British Provinces under clause (a) of the above section may be brought into force in the Mysore Province.

*Reply of Government.*—Vide Subject No. 16 (a) already disposed of.

**SUBJECT No. 37.**

Attachment list.

Mr. S. R. Balakrishna Rao, B.A., LL.B., Advocate, Shimoga Municipality.

*Summary of discussion.*—A list of immovables of or above the value of Rs. 100 attached by orders or decrees of Civil Courts may be ordered to be filed in the Sub-Registrar's offices in whose territorial jurisdiction such attachment takes place. This will minimise the evils caused by fraudulent sales of immovables after attachment.

*Reply of Government.*—This subject was brought up last year also and in that connection the Government, after consulting the Chief Court, the Revenue Commissioner and the Inspector-General of Registration, decided that the labour involved in maintaining the proposed list was great and that it was unnecessary. Though the present proposal is confined to properties above Rs. 100 the same observations apply. Mr. Ranga Iyengar, who also urges the need for the proposed list, may, however, bring forward instances of practical inconvenience, as promised by him.

**SUBJECT No. 38.**

The Central Nazir system.

Mr. M. C. Ranga Iyengar, City Municipality, Mysore.

*Summary of discussion.*—The Central Nazir system may be either abolished or improved; and in the latter case its control may be transferred to the Sub-Judges.

*Reply of Government.*—The Chief Court have considered recently further improvements in the system, and their proposals may be awaited.

**SUBJECT No. 39.**

Equalising salaries to clerks in Civil, Registration and Revenue Departments.

Mr. B. Mallaraiya, Municipality of Seringapatam.

*Summary of discussion.*—Revision of the scale of salaries to clerks in Civil and Registration Departments to bring it into conformity with the scale in the Revenue Department, is prayed for.

*Withdrawn.*

**SUBJECT No. 40.**

Estimate of properties attached in satisfaction of Civil Court decrees by Taluk Amildars.

Mr. Lakshminaranappa of Heggaddevankote Taluk.

*Summary of discussion.*—The valuation of properties sold in satisfaction of Civil Court decrees is at present estimated by Ameens who in most instances estimate them indiscriminately; and if at the time of such sale no bids are offered, the plaintiff himself will have to go in for them as otherwise the sale will be stopped. In such cases, it is